
1		
1	RACHELE R. BYRD (190634)	PAUL J. RIEHLE (SBN 115199)
2	BRITTANY N. DEJONG (258766)	paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com
_	WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER	FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE &
3	FREEMAN & HERZ LLP	REATH LLP
3	750 B Street, Suite 1820	Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor
4	San Diego, CA 92101	San Francisco, CA 94111
4		
_	Telephone: 619/239-4599 Facsimile: 619/234-4599	Telephone: (415) 591-7500 Facsimile: (415) 591-7510
5		raesinine. (413) 391-7310
	byrd@whafh.com	CHDISTINE A VADNEV (nuo haa vias)
6	dejong@whafh.com	CHRISTINE A. VARNEY (pro hac vice)
ا ہ	MADY C DIEVINI (raya haa waa)	cvarney@cravath.com
7	MARK C. RIFKIN (pro hac vice)	KATHERINE B. FORREST (pro hac
	MATTHEW M. GUINEY (pro hac vice)	vice)
8	WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER	kforrest@cravarth.com
	FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Avenue	GARY A. BORNSTEIN (pro hac vice)
9		gbornstein@cravarth.com
.	New York, NY 10016	YONATAN EVEN (pro hac vice)
10	Telephone: 212/545-4600	yeven@cravath.com
	Facsimile: 212/545-4677	LAUREN A. MOSKOWITZ (pro hac
11	rifkin@whafh.com	vice)
.	guiney@whafh.com	lmoskowitz@cravath.com
12	Laterian Class Comment for the	M. BRENT BYARS (pro hac vice)
	Interim Class Counsel for the	mbyars@cravath.com
13	Consumer Plaintiffs	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
	CTEVE W DEDMAN (l	825 Eighth Avenue
14	STEVE W. BERMAN (pro hac vice)	New York, New York 10019
	ROBERT F. LOPEZ (pro hac vice)	Telephone: (212) 474-1000
15	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL	Facsimile: (212) 474-3700
	SHAPIRO LLP	
16	1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000	Attorneys for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.
	Seattle, WA 98101	THEODORE I DOUTDOUG ID (CDN)
17	Telephone: (206) 623-7292	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR. (SBN
.	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594	132099)
18	steve@hbsslaw.com	tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
.	robl@hbsslaw.com	RICHARD J. DOREN (SBN 124666)
19	CHANA E CCADIETT (CDN 217005)	rdoren@gibsondunn.com
_	SHANA E. SCARLETT (SBN 217895)	DANIEL G. SWANSON (SBN 116556)
20	BENJAMIN J. SIEGEL (SBN 256260)	dswanson@gibsondunn.com
_	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL	JAY P. SRÍNIVASAN (SBN 181471)
21	SHAPIRO LLP	jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com
_	715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202C	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
22	Berkeley, CA 94710	333 South Grand Avenue
_	Telephone: (510) 725-3000	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
23	Facsimile: (510) 725-3001	Telephone: 213.229.7000
	shanas@hbsslaw.com	Facsimile: 213.229.7520
24	bens@hbsslaw.com	
		Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.
25	Interim Class Counsel for the	
	Developer Plaintiffs	
26	[Additional counsel appear on signature page]	
	L	
27		
- 1		

FURTHER JOINT STATEMENT REGARDING ORDER RE: DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION
Case Nos.: 4:20-cv-05640-YGR; 4:11-cv-06714-YGR; 4:19-cv-03074-YGR

28



Appl. No. 09/900,691 Reply to Office Action of December 22, 2003

system of Swartz. Subsequently, the user can individually download the stored voice messages. Picard et al. states at column 7, lines 20-23 "In a PC interface the user clicks an inventory entry to select the message to be retrieved; the system retrieves the appropriate message and provides it to the user in the proper format." (emphasis supplied). Picard et al. also states at column 13, lines 22-32 "... If the message is on the EMS 66, the EMS 66 uses POP to retrieve the message from the VMS 68..., and outputs it to the subscriber...." (emphasis supplied). Applicants submit that nowhere does Picard et al. disclose or suggest that the voice mail system request multiple stored voice messages together by one simple input whereby the voice processing apparatus automatically sequentially requests the voice messages and stores them separately. Indeed, it would be contrary to the voice mail system of Picard et al. to modify the system to request all individual voice mail messages with a single input. Therefore, Picard et al. does not disclose or suggest Applicants' claimed invention.

Thus, Applicants submit that the §102 rejections have been overcome.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

In Office Action paragraph 6, claims 7-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz (US 6,445,694) in view of Pawlowski et al. (US 6,038,199). In Office Action paragraph 7, claim 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Picard et al. in view of Walsh et al. (US 5,797,124). In Office Action paragraph 8, claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz in view of Pawlowski et al. and further in view of Walsh et al. Applicants respectfully disagree.

As to claims 3-6 and 11, those claims depend from claim 1. Thus, claims 3-6 and 11 are allowable at least for the same reasons that claim 1 is allowable.

As to claim 7, claim 7 has been clarified. The method of claim 7 calls for "requesting all individual voice messages with a single input." The amendment to claim 7 is similar to the amendment to claim 1 and clarifies that all of the voice individual messages are requested with only a single input. Claims 8-10 and 12 depend from claim 7.

577692/10/1

Fax:3128278000

Appl. No. 09/900,691 Reply to Office Action of December 22, 2003

Regarding Picard et al., Picard et al. does not disclose or suggest requesting all voice messages with a single input as discussed above with reference to claim 1.

Regarding Swartz and Pawlowski et al., Applicants kindly refer to the comments on those references in the Response submitted on September 15, 2003.

Regarding Walsh et al., Walsh et al. also does not disclose or suggest requesting all voice messages with a single input. The Office Action does not assert Walsh et al. contains such a disclosure. Column 3, lines 19-26 of Walsh et al. merely describes grouping together voice messages from the same caller based on the caller's return address. That does not disclose requesting all individual voice messages with a single input.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the § 103(a) rejections have been overcome.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the patent application is in condition for allowance and request a Notice of Allowance be issued.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 02-1818 for any fees associated with the submission of this Response, including any time extension fees. Please reference docket number 112740-237.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD I

Michael S. Leonard Reg. No. 37,557

P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135

Phone: (312) 807-4270

Dated: April 22, 2004

577692/D/1

1 2 3 4	Dated: October 28, 2020	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Christine Varney Katherine B. Forrest Gary A. Bornstein Yonatan Even Lauren A. Moskowitz M. Brent Byars
5		Respectfully submitted,
6		By: <u>/s/ Yonatan Even</u>
7		Yonatan Even
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.
9	Dated: October 28, 2020	WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN &
10		HERZ LLP Mark C. Rifkin
11		Rachele R. Byrd Matthew M. Guiney
12		Brittany N. DeJong
13		Respectfully submitted,
14		By: /s/ Brittany N. DeJong
15		Brittany N. DeJong
16		Interim Class Counsel for Consumer Plaintiffs
17	D . 1 . 0 . 1 . 20 . 2020	MA GENG DEDMAN GODOL GWA DYDO AAD
18	Dated: October 28, 2020	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP Steve W. Berman
19		Robert F. Lopez Shana E. Scarlett
20		Benjamin J. Siegel
21		Respectfully submitted,
22		By: <u>/s/ Robert F. Lopez</u>
23		Robert F. Lopez
24		Interim Class Counsel for Developer Plaintiffs
25		
26		
27		
28	ELECTRONICA	-3- I REGARDING ORDER RE: DISCOVERY OF LLY STORED INFORMATION R; 4:11-cv-06714-YGR; 4:19-cv-03074-YGR

1	Dated: October 28, 2020 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Theodore J. Boutrous Jr.	
2	Richard J. Doren Daniel G. Swanson	
3	Mark A. Perry Veronica S. Lewis	
4	Cynthia E. Richman Jay P. Srinivasan	
5	Ethan D. Dettmer Eli M. Lazarus	
6	Harry Phillips	
7	D (C11 1 2) 1	
	Respectfully submitted,	
8	By: <u>/s/ Jay P. Srinivasan</u> Jay P. Srinivasan	
9	Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.	
10		
11		
12	E-FILING ATTESTATION	
13	I, Jay P. Srinivasan, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file	
14	this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the	
15	signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.	
16		
17	/s/ Jay P. Srinivasan	
18	Jay P. Srinivasan	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-4- FURTHER JOINT STATEMENT REGARDING ORDER RE: DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION Case Nos.: 4:20-cv-05640-YGR; 4:11-cv-06714-YGR; 4:19-cv-03074-YGR	